

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Corless
<b>Given Name</b>	Barry
<b>Person ID</b>	1286405
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Corless
<b>Given Name</b>	Barry
<b>Person ID</b>	1286405
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Traffic. The site is not compliant with PfE objective 7 nor consistent with adapting for climate change, moving towards less carbon emissions and NPPF Chapters 2 (Para 8) and 9</p> <p>The site is over 4 miles from the nearest metrolink or railway station forcing everybody into cars. This increases CO2 emissions and is not sustainable. Existing roads are close to gridlock at peak times and the traffic assessments included display a huge optimism bias that I do not feel is underpinned by evidence.</p> <p>Schools. As a chair of governors and former governor in the areas schools I believe the site fails to comply with PfE Objective 9 and not consistent with NPPF Chapter 8 (Para 95) Local schooling capacity is inadequate to meet needs to give parents sufficient choice. There are no proposals for additional schools close to the site.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	JPA19 Bamford / Norden to be removed from the PfE